

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26157-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.08.2021**

Jyotsila Rani and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Barjesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

CM-9000-CWP-2021

Application for withdrawal of the writ petition qua petitioner
No.1

Learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the
instant application.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, on the basis of oral request made by learned counsel
which is not opposed by opposite side, main case which is fixed for
18.11.2021, is preponed and taken on Board today itself.

Main case

Writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the
Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of

Mandamus directing respondent Nos.5 and 6 not to replace the petitioners with a similar *ad hoc* arrangement.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that grievance has already been redressed and as such, writ petition is rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

18.08.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No