

CRM-M-50733-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(108)

CRM-M-50733-2021.  
Date of Decision:-06.12.2021.

Paramjeet

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)**

In the present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., primarily two reliefs are sought. One relief is regarding which a complaint dated 13.04.2021 (Annexure P-2) has been filed before the D.G.P. Haryana Police, Panchkula seeking action to be taken against Sarpanch Jagdish and other persons named therein.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that with respect to the said relief and for seeking registration of any criminal case, the petitioner would seek alternative remedy, in accordance with law and, thus, does not press the present petition, as far as this relief is concerned.

The second relief sought in the present petition is for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner, regarding which the petitioner had moved a representation dated 24.03.2021 before respondent

CRM-M-50733-2021

No.2. In the said representation, it has been submitted that the petitioner is an RTI Activist and had moved a complaint for inquiry, regarding misappropriation of funds in development works carried out in the village Gram Panchayat, Suhra and pursuant to the same, it was found to have been committed. It is submitted that in view of said complaint, private respondent Nos.4 to 6 have done some acts so as to cause a reasonable apprehension in the mind of the petitioner with respect to his life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 24.03.2021 on the limited aspect of the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar, looks into the complaint/representation (Annexure P-1) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jhajjar, to look into the representation/complaint dated 24.03.2021 (Annexure P-1) with a limited prayer for only protection

CRM-M-50733-2021

of life and liberty of the petitioner and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the complaint/representation with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present criminal writ petition is disposed of in the above-said terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 06, 2021.**

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No