

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-50141-2021
Decided on 07.12.2021

Rudal Nath @ Rishu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The petitioner, apprehending arrest in FIR No.197 dated 28.09.2021, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 & 149 of Indian Penal Code (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar, came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. Vide order dated 01.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 01.12.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. The above said fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from SI Ramesh Kumar. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required.

Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 01.12.2021 is hereby made absolute.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

07.12. 2021
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether reportable	Yes /No