

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-50280-2021(O&M)

Date of decision: 06.12.2021

Bhupesh Sharma @ Vikram Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seek regular bail in case bearing FIR No.205 dated 18.11.2016 under Sections 420 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Copy of custody certificate by way of affidavit dated 06.12.2021 of the Superintendent, District Jail, Sri Muktsar Sahib, submitted by learned State counsel, is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 18.05.2021. It is further submitted that the matter stands compromised between the parties on 04.09.2021, pursuant to which a quashing petition on the basis of compromise/settlement stands filed. He further submitted that as far as six other cases registered under Section 420 against the petitioner is concerned, in these FIRs compromise have been effected and in five out of six cases, the petitioner has been granted bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State assisted by learned counsel

CRM-M-50280-2021(O&M)

-2-

for the complainant while opposing grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature but are not in a position to dispute the fact that matter stands compromised between the parties and pursuant to which, a quashing petition stands filed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since 18.05.2021 and the matter stands compromised between the parties on 04.09.2021 and on the basis of said compromise, quashing petition stands filed. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

06.12.2021
Riya

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No