

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51572 of 2021

Date of decision: 14.12.2021

Sunita Rani

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking bail in FIR No. 201 dated 1.11.2021, under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sangrur.

The FIR was got registered by Kuldeep Kaur wife of Kewal Singh. As per the contents of the FIR, three years ago, marriage of her son Sapinder Singh was solemnised with Nishu daughter of Kulwant Singh. The couple was blessed with a son. The matrimonial relationship faced troubled water. The daughter-in-law left the house. There was a request made by the family of the complainant to the family of the bride to send the daughter back. The effort was not successful. The son of the complainant went missing, resultantly Kewal Singh (deceased) (husband of the complainant) was tensed due to family circumstances. On 1.11.2021, at 10.30 AM, the complainant saw that her husband had hanged himself from

a ceiling fan. The allegations are that due to harassment by the family of her daughter-in-law, her husband committed suicide.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was a panchayati agreement entered between the parties and it is forth coming that the daughter of Kulwant Singh was being harassed by her in-laws. Contention is that there is no suicide note, no recovery is to be made and the family of the petitioner is living separately. The contention is that the petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2021 and the co-accused was granted anticipatory bail by this court.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, considering that there is no suicide note left by the deceased, admittedly there were differences between the two families, as to whether that in itself would result to abatement would be a debatable issue and conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

14th December, 2021

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No