

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M No. 50638 of 2021
Date of Decision: 03.12.2021

Jagdish Yadav

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. Since, the representation carried in Annexures P-2, remains inactioned on the part of the police authorities concerned, thereupon, the petitioner is led to access this Court, for its making a direction, upon, the respondents concerned, hence for action being taken thereon.

2. However, even if there is any inaction on the part of the authorities concerned, to galvanize the police machinery, on Annexure P-2, yet the afore inaction, can be better remedied through the petitioner's accessing the learned Magistrate concerned, with an application under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. The reason being, that with the availability of the afore alternative remedy to the petitioner, thereupon the extant petition hence claiming the afore relief is mis-constituted, and, also is not maintainable at this stage before this Court.

3. Therefore, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the

petitioner to access the learned Magistrate concerned, with a petition under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., and, upon its presentation before him, the latter shall make orders thereon, in accordance with law.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

December 03, 2021
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No