

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50768-2021

Date of decision: 16.12.2021

RAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
573	16 September, 2021	Surajkund, District Faridabad	Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 379-B and 506 of IPC.

The petitioners, apprehending arrest came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 06.12.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 06.12.2021, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

4. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Karambir. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to

give bail to the petitioners, then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail on the ground that the offence is serious.

6. It is clarified that in case the petitioners violates condition No.11 of order dated 06.12.2021, then, on this ground alone, it shall be permissible for the complainant to file an application for cancellation of the bail. It is further clarified that in case the petitioners indulge in similar kind of offences, then the Court dealing with that matter shall consider that the petitioners were cautioned by this Court strictly not to repeat such offences.

7. Given above, the concerned Station House Officer is directed to keep a watch on the activities of the petitioners as they are stated to be routinely indulging in illegal activities.

8. Given the nature of allegations, no further pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners make a case for release on bail.

9. With the aforesaid conditions, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 06.12.2021 is hereby made absolute.

16.12.2021
Chetan Thakur

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No