

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CRWP No. 11386 of 2021
Date of Decision : 02.12.2021

Krishan Lal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, which according to the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.4 is the daughter-in-law of the petitioners and there is a matrimonial dispute going on between her and their son. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the divorce proceedings are pending before the competent Court of law and certain proceedings have been initiated by respondent No.4 roping the petitioners as well. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that on 13.11.2021, respondent No.4 had entered into the factory belonging to the petitioner No.1 and threatened him, which fact was brought to the notice of the police immediately and a complaint dated 13.11.2021 (Annexure P/17) was also filed. Despite the said fact,

again on 16.11.2021, similar incident happened, which has created

apprehension of threat in the minds of the petitioners with regard to their life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the complaint dated 13.11.2021 (Annexure P/17 & P/18) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection in deciding complaint dated 13.11.2021 (Annexures P/17 & P/18) submitted by the petitioner by respondent No.3 in a time bound manner.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to decide complaint dated 13.11.2021 (Annexures P/17 & P/18) submitted by the petitioners by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from today. It will be appreciated if before deciding the said complaint all the persons concerned, who are likely to be effected from the outcome of the complaint, are heard.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

December 02, 2021

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No