

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-50764-2021  
Date of Decision: 10.12.2021**

Manjit Kaur and Anr.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. Umesh Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No.74, dated 09.10.2021, registered under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Patara, District Jalandhar.

2. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, at the outset submits that she does not press for regular bail in respect of petitioner No.1 namely Manjit Kaur. Her prayer for regular bail is therefore dismissed.

3. Regarding petitioner No.2 namely Ranjit Kaur, submission is that a false FIR has been lodged against the petitioner by father-in-law of petitioner No.1's sister-in-law for motivated reasons. She further submits that even according to the FIR, there was no meeting between the petitioner and the deceased-Rajesh Kumar, who had returned home half an hour after the petitioner No.1 had left his house, and after the said deceased's return, it

was his wife-Manjit Kaur, who had started quarrelling with him, as a consequence of which, the deceased killed himself immediately thereafter in his room of the house. Hence, according to Ld. Counsel for the petitioners, there is no incidence of any actual abetment or instigation on the part of petitioner towards the deceased in killing himself, since she did not have any meeting with him at any time soon before the date of occurrence.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State and has filed custody certificate, which is taken on record.

6. Custody certificate of the petitioner No.2 goes to show that by now she has remained in prison for one month and 26 days since 13<sup>th</sup> October 2021.

7. Consequently, her detention at this stage for an indefinite period is not called for

8. Considering the nature of material available against her in the present case, her prayer for regular bail is allowed and she is ordered to be released on bail subject to appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Disposed off.

10.12.2021  
*manju*

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No