

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CWP-24414-2021
Date of decision: 02.12.2021**

SHRI VISHWAKARMA PANCHAL SABHA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present : Mr. Ramesh Chander Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J. (ORAL)

Pleadings on record would indicate that respondent-HUDA (now HSVP) issued an advertisement dated 16th January 2021 inviting applications for allotment of plots to religious and social institutions at different locations i.e., Faridabad, Hisar, Hansi, Karnal, Panchkula and Gurugram urban estate.

Instant writ petition is at the hands of Shri Vishvakarma Panchal Sabha Panchkula claiming itself to be a registered society and involved in providing free education to the Panchal community, running literacy campaigns and providing accommodation/shelter to the needy and poor persons visiting Chandigarh and Panchkula from other States.

The short grievance raised in the petition is that in spite of having submitted the application for allotment of a plot measuring 1000 sq.

yards in Sector 7, Panchkula, pursuant to the advertisement dated 16th January 2021, consideration for such allotment has been denied.

The entire case projected by the counsel is that interviews were conducted in the month of October, 2021, in which the petitioner-Society was not even called.

During the course of hearing today, Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate has intervened and entered appearance on behalf of respondent-HSVP.

He makes a statement that a decision has been taken to conduct interviews afresh and thereby to consider the claims of all the applicants including the petitioner. He further submits that the date for such interview/interaction is yet to be fixed, but the same in due course would be conveyed to all the applicants and also to the petitioner-Society shortly. It is submitted that thereafter the process of allotment would be conducted as per criteria that may have been arrived at by the competent authority under the HSVP.

Statement made by Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

02.12.2021

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*