

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39334-2019

Date of Decision: 16.12.2021

HARDEEP SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. B.D.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 16.09.2019, the following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion for 30.1.2020.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

At the first instance, the FIR was registered under Section 498-

A IPC and subsequently the offence under Section 406 IPC was also added.

Accordingly, on 30.01.2020, the following order was passed:-

“CRM-1525-2020

Prayer in this application is to incorporate the offence under Section 406 IPC which has been added after registration of FIR.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and the present petition be treated as seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.97 dated 24.07.2019 under Section 498-A and Section 406 IPC as well.

CRM-M-39334-2019

Since the offence under Section 406 IPC has been added in the case, petitioner is once again directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation.

Adjourned to 23.04.2020.”

Thereafter, on 22.07.2021, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and report has been received which indicates that the amicable settlement has been effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the complainant very fairly states that as the matter has been amicably settled, he has no objection if the interim bail granted to the petitioner is made absolute.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jatinder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted to the petitioner by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed.

16.12.2021
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No