

Tanisha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARAPresent: Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions submits that in the interregnum the threat perception has mellowed down. Learned counsel further submits that the parties are trying to compromise.

Given above, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh in case threat perception subsists.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn with liberty to file fresh as aforesaid. The interim protection order dated 29.11.2021 is hereby recalled.

Since it appears to be an inter-religion marriage, as such, whenever the petitioners approach the concerned SP/SHO, who shall immediately provide the protection to the petitioners subject to the condition that the couple shall reside within the boundaries of their home.

It is clarified that withdrawal of the present petition will not come in the way of filing a fresh petition.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE****December 06, 2021**
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No