

CRM-M-52096-2021

Date of decision: 14.12.2021

LATIKA CHUGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on account of Covid-19 Pandemic)***VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to re-investigate the matter with regard to the case bearing FIR No. 667 dated 25.09.2020, under Sections 323/380/392/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat.

In pursuance of the advance notice, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana is present and has pointed out that the petitioner had submitted an affidavit on 30.10.2020 wherein it was mentioned that she does not want to pursue with the FIR/complaint and consequently, cancellation report has been prepared in the matter.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek alternative remedies as may be available in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

14.12.2021

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No