

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CWP-24027-2021(O&M)
Date of Order: 25.11.2021

GIANENDER AHLAWAT

..Petitioner

Versus

THE UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND ANR.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Sr. Standing Counsel
with Mr. Nishant Indal, Advocate
for UT, Chandigarh.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner has been allotted a Government house in Sector 22-A,
Chandigarh.

There is a vacant space outside the boundary wall of the house which
has been allotted to the petitioner. The petitioner has developed the aforesaid area and
fenced it with plants. The UT Administration is now planning to uniformly develop the
green belt in the area.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that if such
development is permitted, he will not be left with any passage. Sh. Anil Mehta, Senior
Standing Counsel representing the Union Territory, Chandigarh, has submitted that 12
feet wide passage is being provided.

The petitioner is neither the owner nor the licensee of the green belt.

Hence, the petitioner does not have any exclusive right to use the
aforesaid property.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 25, 2021

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No