

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-49767-2021
Decided on 09.12.2021

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The petitioner, apprehending arrest in FIR No.244 dated 14.10.2021, registered under Sections 295 & 34 IPC (Sections 153-A(1)(b) IPC and Section 67 of IT Act added later on), came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 29.11.2021, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 29.11.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. The above said fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from SI Gurjeet Kaur. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required.

Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to

this case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 29.11.2021 is hereby made absolute.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

09.12. 2021
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether reportable	Yes /No