

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 204(1)

CRM-M No.39069 of 2019

Date of decision : 02.11.2021

Harpreet Singh @ Kalu and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in cross case registered vide DDR No.25 dated 05.05.2019 under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC (Sections 326 and 325 IPC added later on) arising out of FIR No.37 dated 05.05.2019 under Sections 455, 323 IPC registered at Police Station SGN Dev Thermal Plant, Bathinda.

On 13.09.2019, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a cross-version case. FIR in this case was registered on the statement of mother of petitioner No.1 and wife of petitioner No.2. In the cross-version, petitioner No.2 has been attributed simple injury while petitioner No.1 has been attributed grievous injury on non-vital part of the body of complainant. It is a case in which complainant party was aggressor and caused injuries to Naib Singh.

Notice of motion for 16.12.2019.

Petitioners are directed to surrender before the police and join investigation within two weeks. In the event of their arrest being required, they shall be released on interim bail till the next date, subject to their furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, they shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)(i) to (iv) Cr.P.C. failing which they shall lose the benefit of interim bail allowed to them.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation; cooperated with the investigating agency; investigation in the case is over and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 13.09.2019, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

02.11.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No