

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49716-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.11.2021

Parveen Kumar @ Parveen Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 152 dated 06.09.2021, registered under Sections 186, 353 and 506 IPC and Section 21 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, at Police Station Raipur Rani, District Panhkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged occurrence took place on 03.09.2021 and the FIR was registered on 06.09.2021 i.e. after a delay of 03 days. In the FIR, it is mentioned that there were 20-25 boys, who snatched the mobile phones and keys of the vehicle of the staff, so that the incident may not be clicked, but the FIR was registered

only against the petitioner due to some enmity.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perusal of the petition itself shows that the petitioner is involved in one more case bearing FIR No. 50 dated 31.03.2021, registered under Sections 186, 353, 506 and 34 IPC and Section 21 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957. In the present case, two tractor trolleys carrying illegal sand were found on the spot, out of which one was taken away after unloading the sand and another one overturned in ditch. The petitioner is a habitual offender and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

29.11.2021
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No