

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.122**

**CRM-M-49946-2021**

**Date of Decision: 30.11.2021**

**SHIVINDER PAL PURI**

**... Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.  
(keeping in view the advance copy given)

(through video conferencing)

\*\*\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)**

The present petition has been filed alleging allegations against respondent Nos.4 to 7 that certain premises, which were allegedly in the possession of the petitioner, have been got vacated by the Police without following due process of law.

Learned counsel submits that despite the fact that civil proceedings are pending between the parties, the petitioner was made to part way from the possession of certain premises though he was not liable for the same.

Learned counsel further submits that the said action of the private respondents as well as the Police officials, has already been brought to the notice of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga vide representation dated 18.11.2021 (Annexure P-4) which is still pending consideration with the said

authority and the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 18.11.2021 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner by respondent No.3 in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to decide representation dated 18.11.2021 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

It will be appreciated that before passing the order, all the persons, who are likely to be affected, are heard.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

30.11.2021  
*rimpal*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No