

Lakshman

... Petitioner

v/s

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. A.P.Dhankar , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG Haryana.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 pandemic)*

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)**CRM-42436-2021**

Petitioner seeks to place on record copy of the earlier order
dated 02.11.2021 passed by this Court. The same is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Main case

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that a
representation, Annexure P-6 has already been submitted to the
Superintendent of Police, Faridabad. The perusal of the representation
indicates that in the subject matter, a request has been made for setting aside
the notices being issued by the Women Police Station, Central Faridabad in
the case bearing FIR No. 98 dated 11.08.2021, under Sections 376(2N), 509,
506 of IPC 1860, Sections 66C, 67A of Information & Technology Act,

2000 and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities Act), 1989. Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly conceded that the notices have been issued to his son namely Baldev who has been arrayed as an accused in the said case and the representation has not been properly drafted. He seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to submit fresh representation in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

14.12.2021

Janki

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No