

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-1133-2021 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 2nd DECEMBER, 2021**

JASPAL KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.**

Present: Mr. Barjesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. SPS Tinna, Addl. AG, Punjab.

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH VC)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J (ORAL)

CM-2679-LPA-2021

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Representation as well as E-mail receipt dated 11th November, 2021 are taken on record as Annexures P-4 and P-5 subject to all just exceptions.

LPA-1133-2021

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 16th November, 2021 passed by this Court in CRWP No. 10815-2021 which had been preferred by the appellants praying for issuance of directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda and SHO, Police Station Gill Kalan, Bathinda respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the appellants from the private respondents in the criminal writ petition, as they have received threats and apprehend danger to their life and liberty.

Highlighting this aspect they had submitted a representation dated 11th November, 2021 (Annexure P-4) to the said respondents through e-mail. Since no action was taken thereon, they had approached this Court by way of said criminal writ petition.

Learned counsel for the appellants asserts that learned Single Judge has proceeded not to accept the prayer of the appellants merely on the ground that there was no specific and convincing material which has been mentioned therein except the bald assertions and averments regarding danger to their life and liberty thus lacking material particulars revealing the manner and mode of alleged threat extended to the appellants. Counsel for the appellants contends that since details with regard to the said aspect intentionally was not mentioned therein for the reasons that the relations between them could be thereafter put in an harmonious situation, as they did not intend to create hurdles with regard to the future of the appellants. Counsel for the appellants further states that representation was only for protection of life and liberty and was not in any manner intending to get direction from the court in any criminal case which would have been initiated or pending against them.

In the light of above submission of the counsel for the appellants, we dispose of the present appeal by directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda and SHO, Police Station Gill Kalan, Bathinda, respondents No.2 and 3 to look into the representation dated 11th November, 2021 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the appellants and if some substance is found therein, appropriate steps in accordance with law be taken, so that the life of the appellants are not *jeopardized* in any manner.

This order shall not in any case be taken as any opinion

criminal proceedings are pending or have been initiated against the appellants.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

2nd DECEMBER, 2021
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>