

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

CRM-M-49313 of 2021

Date of Decision: 25.11.2021

Jai Dass

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Parmod Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondents No.5 to 9 as the said respondents are threatening the petitioner to kill him and his family members on account of the petitioner's son having married the daughter of respondent No.5 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the son of the petitioner had eloped with the daughter of respondent No.5 and had performed marriage against the wishes of their respective parents. They had also filed a petition seeking protection of their life and liberty before Ld. Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra and the said matter is now fixed for 29.11.2021. The petitioner tried his level best to make understand the respondents that the said marriage had been performed by his son on his own and the petitioner and his family had no role in the entire incident. The petitioner has already disowned his son namely Vivek from all his movable and immovable properties and got published 'Notice of Disown' in two newspapers (Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively). Still the respondents

hold petitioner and his family responsible for the said marriage and are

openly threatening and pressurizing the petitioner to produce his son before them or to leave the village in order to save his life. Consequently, the petitioner moved two representations dated 15.11.2021 (Annexure P-5) and 17.11.2021 (Annexure P-6) to Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra and Deputy Commissioner of Police, Kurukshetra respectively, but till date, no action has been taken on the same.

Now, the prayer on behalf of the petitioner is that a direction be issued to the official respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in an expeditious manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and has no objection if an appropriate direction be issued for deciding the representation of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the instant criminal writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3—Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above said representation to respondent No.3—Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra for requisite compliance within the time period granted by this Court.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

25.11.2021
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No