

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CM-4579-CWP-2020 in/and
CWP-9185-2018

Date of Decision : July 08, 2021

Bharat Electronic Limited Panchkula

.. Petitioner

Versus

Central Information Commission and another

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate, for non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mohan Kansal, Advocate,
for applicant/respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-4579-CWP-2020

Present application has been filed for disposal of the writ petition in view of the facts mentioned therein.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioner.

Learned counsel(s) for the parties pray that the main writ petition be taken up for hearing for disposal of the same by preponing the date of hearing.

Keeping in view the request made by learned counsel(s) for the parties, the hearing of the main writ petition is preponed to today.

CWP-9185-2018

In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 21.03.2018 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Central Information Commissioner on an appeal preferred by respondent No.2 which was partly accepted by respondent No.1-Commissioner and a direction was issued to furnish the information to respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that during the pendency of the present petition, his grievance with regard to the furnishing of information has already been redressed and he will not press his petition/appeal pending before the Central Information Commissioner any further.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents that he is not pressing his appeal pending before the Central Information Commissioner, on which the impugned order was passed, any further and he is already satisfied with the information supplied, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition any further and the same may be disposed of having been not pressed in view of the statement of the counsel for the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

July 08, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No