

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CRM-M-49786-2021

Date of Decision : November 29, 2021

Sukhvir Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed seeking direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to conclude the investigation in FIR No.99 dated 12.09.2020 registered under Sections 328 & 149 IPC and Sections 3 & 4 of the SC and ST Act, 1989 at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, more than one year has elapsed from the registration of the FIR but nothing fruitful has come in the investigation rather, the delay shows that the no proper investigation is being conducted by the Investigating Agency into the allegations alleged in the FIR.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General,

Punjab, who is present in the Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State, on the instructions from ASI Manjit Singh, submits that earlier the chemical examination report was awaited, which has now been received and the investigation will be completed within a period of two months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents-State, the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and he has instructions not to press this petition any further and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

November 29, 2021

harsha

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No