

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 49732 of 2021
Date of decision: 29.11.2021**

Sunil Kumar Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 14 dated 29.01.2021, under Sections 307, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Bhargo Camp, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner is named in the FIR, but no specific injury is attributed to him. He also contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated, as co-accused Sardari Lal had filed an FIR bearing No. 209 dated 31.12.2018 against the son of the complainant.

Learned State counsel contends that the petitioner, who are armed with a 'datar', and other accused caused as many as thirteen injuries on the complainant, who remained admitted in hospital and after two weeks he was found fit to make a statement.

Heard. In view of serious allegations against the petitioner of being armed with a 'datar' and having allegedly given blows to the

complainant, who was seriously injured, I do not deem it to be a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The petitions of similarly situated co-accused, namely, Mukul Brar, Vineet Kamar @ Jhony, Sham Murari and Sardari Lal bearing CRM-M Nos. 17783 of 2021, 18744 of 2021, 38027 of 2021 and 19737 of 2021, respectively, have already been dismissed.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, it is clarified that nothing which has been observed here-in-above shall be deemed to have any bearing on the merits of the case.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.11.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No