

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(216)

CRM-M-49415-2021

Date of Decision : December 21, 2021

**Rimpy @ Preeti**

**.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**.. Respondent**

**(Through Video Conferencing)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. N.K. Manchanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.  
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.411 dated 14.07.2021 registered under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 379, 120-B and 506 IPC added later on) at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the allegations alleged against the petitioner are totally false and frivolous and rather they are an outcome of a matrimonial dispute. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant knew about the first marriage of the petitioner and is now raising a grievance by levelling fake allegations.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Garg, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on the instructions from ASI Manjeet Singh, submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature though there are no other cases of similar allegations of any fraudulent marriages being conducted by the petitioner has come on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State concedes that the investigation is over and the challan has been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the fact that the investigation is already over and challan has been presented and the allegations against the petitioner are yet to be proved during the course of trial, no useful purpose will be achieved in keeping the petitioner, who is 36 years old and is raising two young children, behind the bars during the entire period of trial especially when learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that in case, the petitioner is extended the benefit of regular bail, she will not influence the trial or the witnesses in any manner.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence trial in any manner including influencing the witnesses. In case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

**December 21, 2021**

*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes

Whether reportable : No