

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision:- **30.11.2021**

1. CWP No. 24066 of 2021

M/s Garg and company	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

2. CWP No. 24068 of 2021

M/s Garg and company	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

3. CWP No. 24069 of 2021

M/s Garg and company	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

4. CWP No. 24070 of 2021

M/s Garg and company	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

5. CWP No. 24075 of 2021

M/s Garg and company	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.

Present:- Mr. H.S.Brar, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Chanchal K.Singla, Advocate and
 Mr. R.S.Kalra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

The issue raised in all these petitions relates to imposition of
liquidated damages in terms of clause 44 of the Contract/Standard Bidding

is, however, observed that there is a Dispute Redressal System as contained and provided under Clause 24 of the aforesaid contract.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Haryana submits that in case the petitioners invoke clause 24 of the contract, the authority concerned would take up the matter and decide the dispute within a period of four weeks from the date the dispute is raised by the petitioners.

Learned senior counsel further submits that the petitioners may be permitted to raise all the issues including the issue of jurisdiction before the said authority within a period of one week. He is accordingly permitted to do so.

It is further made clear that the petitioners would also be at liberty to move the Dispute Redressal Authority by filing an application seeking stay of further recovery during the resolution process or till the matter is decided by the said authority.

To which, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana submits that in case any such application is filed, the authority shall also consider the same.

With these observations, all the petitions stand disposed of.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

30.11.2021
ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No