

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49234-2021

Date of decision:24.11.2021

GAGANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. FIR No.173 dated 01.10.2021 is registered at Police Station City Patti, District Tarn Taran, and, therein offences constituted under Sections 406/420/468 IPC are embodied.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner makes a vehement argument before this Court, that co-respondent No.4 was temporarily entrusted with the car owned by the petitioner, and, that he has failed to return it despite repeated requests being made upon him. Consequent thereto, the petitioner was led to institute the FIR against co-respondent No.4.

3. However, today, when the matter was listed before this Court, learned State counsel, on instructions meted to him, by the investigating officer concerned submits, that after completion of investigations into the offences supra, as carried in the FIR supra, a closure report has been

prepared.

4. In the face of the afore statement made at the bar by the learned State counsel, it is not deemed fit to grant to the petitioner the relief, asked for in the instant petition, in as much they relate to investigations being directed to be carried out, in a time bound manner, as reiteratedly given, after completion of investigations, a closure report becoming prepared.

5. Be that as it may, the remedy which is available to the petitioner, is to file a protest petition, against the acceptance of the closure report, if filed, hence by the learned Magistrate concerned, and, the learned Magistrate concerned, shall in accordance with law, make lawful orders thereon(s).

6. In case the petitioner is aggrieved from the acceptance of the closure report, by the learned Magistrate concerned, it is open to the petitioner to recourse the appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

24.11.2021

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No