

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(116-2)

CRM No. M-49287 of 2021

Date of Decision : 25.11.2021

Ramesh Asht

...Petitioner

Versus

Union territory of Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Viren Sibal, Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Goyal, A.P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that after the case was marked to him by the Legal Aid Committee for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner as raised in this petition, the discharge application filed by the petitioner has been dismissed by the Competent Court of Law and the charges have already been framed, hence petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to him in the facts and circumstances of this case in case, petitioner is aggrieved against any order, which has been passed by the Competent Court of Law of framing charges against the petitioner as well dismissing the application seeking discharge.

Ordered accordingly.

November 25, 2021

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No