

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
124**

LPA-1122-2021

Date of decision: 23.11.2021

NABISA KHATUN @ NANCY AND ANOTHER

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the appellants.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 15.11.2021 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the writ petition preferred by the petitioners (appellants herein) praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat for protection of their life and liberty from private respondents No. 4 and 5, who are parents of appellant No. 1, has been declined on the ground that the details with regard to the threats have not been mentioned in their representation dated 10.11.2021 (Annexure P-8) submitted to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat. The material, which had been placed on record, was not convincing for the Court to take cognizance thereof and accept the prayer of the appellants.

Learned counsel for the appellants states that the appellants, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued by this Court to the

Superintendent of Police, Panipat-respondent No. 2 and/or the Station House Officer, Police Station Sector-29, Panipat-respondent No. 3 to consider the representation submitted by the appellants dated 10.11.2021 (Annexure P-8) and if some substance is found therein, to proceed in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to respondents No 1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case and observing anything with regard to the assertions of the petitioners in the writ petition which they had preferred earlier, we dispose of this appeal by directing Superintendent of Police, Panipat-respondent No. 2 and/or the Station House Officer, Police Station Sector-29, Panipat-respondent No. 3 to look into the representation dated 10.11.2021 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the appellants and if some substance is found therein, to proceed and take action, in accordance with law, if required.

It is made clear that this order of ours shall not be construed as any sort of impediment for the Investigating Agency from taking action against the appellants if there is any criminal case registered/pending against them.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

November 23, 2021

pj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No