

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.109

CRM-M No.49671 of 2021

Date of Decision: 26th November, 2021.

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would be availing the alternative, appropriate and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for seeking redressal of his grievance as canvassed in this petition.

Mr. Aproorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

26.11.2021.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No