

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-162-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.03.2021

CHARANJEET SINGH BHAMRA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate and
Mr. Naveen Sharma (Moudgil), Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Venu Gopal Jauhar, Sr. DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.9 dated 26.11.2020, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station NRI Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Vide order dated 06.01.2021, passed by this Court, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 06.01.2021 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Sakshi Sharma, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, yet the dowry articles are to be recovered from him.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is father-in-law of the complainant and is 70 years old. Immediately

after the marriage, the complainant joined the company of the son of the petitioner in Australia. Divorce proceedings between the two took place in Australia and the same was granted on 20.09.2018. Even during the earlier inquiry, nothing was found against the petitioner.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 06.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

17.03.2021

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No