

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48630-2021

Date of decision : 3.12.2021

Mani Reen @ Lawrance

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr.Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

SANT PARKASH, J.

By filing the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 84 dated 5.6.2021 registered under Sections 307, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC at Police Station Division No.7, Ludhiana.

The present FIR has been registered on the statement of Major Singh to the effect that the petitioner along with co-accused caused injuries to him. Allegations against the petitioner are that he gave sword blows which hit on the right hand of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is only attributed with a sword blow, which hit on the right hand of the complainant. It is, however, submitted that as per the MLR, all the injuries on the person of the complainant were with blunt weapon. He further submits that the only injury, which was on the head of the complainant is not attributed to the petitioner, as such Section 307 IPC is not attributed to the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was a member of an unlawful assembly and caused injuries to the complainant, out of which, one injury is grievous in nature.

Heard.

From the perusal of the case file, it is emerged that the complainant was attacked by an unlawful assembly of which the petitioner was one of the members. The assailants were armed with deadly weapons like swords, 'danda' etc. It is further emerged that the complainant suffered seven injuries, out of which, one injury is on the vital part of the body, i.e. head. Moreover, counsel for the petitioner has failed to point out any material on the basis of which, it can be said that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case.

Taking into consideration the seriousness of allegations against the petitioner and without commenting anything on merits, the instant petition for pre-arrest bail filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed as such.

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

03.12.2021
mks

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO