

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 31 of 2021

Date of decision : 8.1.2021

Union Bank of India
State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioner

versus

... Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jaswant Singh
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sant Parkash**

Present Mr. Pancham Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

Jaswant Singh, J. (Oral)

The grievance is that inspite of an order date 19.3.2018 passed by the District Magistrate, Jind, on an application under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002, for taking physical possession of the secured asset, no concrete action has been taken.

Upon notice to the District Magistrate, Jind and Superintendent of Police, Jind, only for today, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions states that notice for handing over the physical possession of the secured asset has been issued for **15.1.2021** and in case the authorised officer is present on that day, the physical possession shall be handed over to him.

In response, Mr. Pancham Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the authorised person would present himself at the site in question for taking physical possession of the secured asset.

It is hoped that delivery of the physical possession of the secured asset is ensured.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(Jaswant Singh)
Judge

8.1.2021
vs

(Sant Parkash)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No