

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRWP-10977-2021

Date of Decision : 18.11.2021

Jyoti and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents Nos.4 to 6 i.e. parents/relatives of the petitioner No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though, petitioner No.1 is still married to one Ajinder Tomar with whom she got married on 08.02.2020 but, as she was deserted immediately after the said marriage by the said Ajinder Tomar, she had returned back to her parents home. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner No.1 was being forced by the private respondents, who are her parents to go back to her matrimonial home but, she did not want to go back and in the meantime, petitioner No.1 met with petitioner No.2 and in order to live peacefully, they both agreed to live together so as to take care of each other.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the private respondents did not like the said act of the petitioners and the petitioners have apprehension of threat to their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents, for which grievance, the petitioners have already made a representation dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3) with respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Kaithal seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab-respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Without opining upon the relationship of the petitioners in any manner, a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Kaithal to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents Nos.4 to 6 within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case it is found that the threat perception being apprehended by the petitioners is genuine in nature, appropriate action be taken so as to protect life and liberty of the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

November 18, 2021

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No