

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRM-M No. 49226 of 2021
Date of Decision: 24.11.2021**

Sanjeev Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since, the complaints/representations carried in Annexures P-1 and P-2, remain inactioned on the part of the police authorities concerned, thereupon, the petitioner is led to access this Court, for its making a direction upon the respondents concerned, hence for action being taken thereon.

2. However, even if there any inaction on the part of the authorities concerned, to galvanize the police machinery respectively on Annexures P-1, and, P-2, yet the afore inaction, can be better remedied through the petitioner's accessing the learned Magistrate concerned, with an application under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. The reason being that with the availability of the afore alternative remedy to the petitioner, thereupon the extant petition hence claiming the afore relief is mis-constituted, and, also is not maintainable at this stage before this Court.

3. Therefore, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the

petitioner to access the learned Magistrate concerned, with a petition under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., and, upon its presentation before him, the latter shall make orders thereon, in accordance with law.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

November 24, 2021
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes/No