

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-44394-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 14.09.2021

AMARJEET SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.479 dated 05.12.2020, under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, District Karnal.

A coordinate Bench of this court on 07.01.2021, had passed the following order:-

“Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the sale agreement relied upon by the complainant was not actually executed by him. In fact, blank signed papers had been taken by the complainant in lieu of a loan availed of. It is further argued that as per the sale agreement dated 15.07.2019, the sale deed was to be executed upto 31.08.2019. In case the sale agreement had been a genuine document, the complainant would have preferred a suit for specific performance, which has not been done till date. It is also submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required and that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana and Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate, who are present through the medium of video conferencing, accept notice for the respondent-State and the complainant respectively.

Learned counsel for the complainant vehemently argues that the complainant has been cheated as the petitioner herein had entered into an agreement to sell for the property of which he was not the owner. In fact, the property agreed to be sold, had already been transferred as far back as 2014 by the petitioner himself.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have perused the paper book.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the petitioner was sitting in a car being driven by co-accused Jugraj Singh which smashed the gate of the house of the complainant. It is also alleged that the occupants of the car including the petitioner had allegedly threatened the complainant. He also contends that there was no physical altercation.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

List for further consideration on 26.05.2021.”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Satbir Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 07.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

14.09.2021
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No