

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-10957 of 2020

Date of Decision: 16.02.2021

Tamanna Arora and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondents No.4 to 6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberties of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

The petitioner No.1 is present along with her mother-respondent No.5 through the video conferencing and she has been identified by her counsel.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.4 to 6 submits that the petitioner No.1 is staying with her mother.

Since the petitioner No.1 is already staying with her mother and present petition is only to seek protection of life and liberty of the petitioners for having solemnizing marriage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

February 16, 2021
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No