

[121] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23816-2021

Date of Decision : 24.11.2021

Jitender Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sumit Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] At the outset learned counsel contends that since the representation, Annexure P/6 dated 05.10.2021 filed by the petitioner against removal from service without issuing any show cause notice, conducting of enquiry or giving any opportunity of hearing, has not been decided by respondent No.2, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P/6 dated 05.10.2021, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

4. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, gone over the paper-book and in view thereof as well as statement of

learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the

merits of the case, *dispose of* the writ petition by directing respondent No. 2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/6 dated 05.10.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.11.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No