

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.40418 of 2021 in/and
CRM-M-525 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 02, 2021

Kamalpreet Singh alias Pitta and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-40418-2021

This is an application that has been filed seeking to prepone hearing in the main case, which is now listed for 19.04.2022.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Hearing in the main case is preponed and the same is taken up for hearing today.

Main case

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of GD No.19 dated 28.06.2020, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur in cross case FIR

No.122 dated 21.06.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 326 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/3).

The GR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Jaspal Singh s/o Sukhdev Singh. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Malerkotla, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits to the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between

the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and GD No.19 dated 28.06.2020, registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur in cross case FIR No.122 dated 21.06.2020 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 326 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

December 02, 2021

vi Jay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No