

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-445-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 26.10.2021

Ramesh Pandey ...Petitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of by directing the learned trial Court to expeditiously conclude the trial in view of the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for a period of more than 1½ years i.e. almost 50% of the maximum sentence which can be imposed in case of conviction of the petitioner in the instant case besides the main accused has already been acquitted.

2. The prayer of learned counsel is not opposed to by learned State Counsel.

3. In the light of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of by directing the learned trial Court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within six months by ensuring that no adjournment unless absolutely essential is granted for examination of the prosecution witnesses.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

26.10.2021

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No