

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- 1133-2021
Date of Decision: 11.01.2021**

Suraj Khan @ Shokat Ali @ Kala

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

2. Mr. B.S. Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, to accept notice on behalf of the respondent. Let a copy of the Paperbook be supplied to him.

3. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner relies on the decisions of the Co-ordinate Benches of this Court passed in **CRM-M-14229-2020** titled as **“Udeek Singh @ Tinku Vs. State of Punjab”** and **CRM-M-31903-2020** titled as **“Karam Singh Vs. State of Haryana”**, decided on **05.06.2020** and **09.11.2020**, respectively, and submits that the petitioner is willing to furnish surety for the entire amount as mentioned in the impugned order dated 30.05.2020 (Annexure P-1). Therefore, it would be in the interest of justice if the impugned order is altered to that extent.

4. The petition is partly allowed.

5. The petitioner shall furnish surety for the entire amount. He shall also file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not alienate or mortgage the vehicle and shall produce the same as and when called upon to do so by the Ld. Trial Court.

11.01.2021

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No