

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 44177 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.01.2021.**

Sher Mohd.

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking cancellation of bail granted to respondent No. 2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had lodged a complaint as his daughter was missing and later it transpired that respondent No. 2 had abducted her and along with others had assaulted her.

Heard.

It has been noticed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, that respondent No. 2 and the daughter of the petitioner had filed a petition bearing CRWP No. 2674 of 2019 before this Court seeking police protection. It was stated therein that the daughter of the petitioner and respondent No. 2 were living together for over a year and wanted to perform marriage. The daughter of the petitioner is stated to be over 18 years of age, as recorded in

the FIR. Therefore, I do not find any illegality in the order granting anticipatory bail to respondent No. 2.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

22.01.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No