

112+209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-20750-2021 in/and
CRM-M-44239-2020
Date of decision : 26.07.2021

Ajay and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Kuldeep S. Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Maninder S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

CRM-20750-2021

This is an application for preponing the date of hearing in the main case.

Application is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous as the main case itself is listed today.

CRM-M-44239-2020

The present petition is filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of regular bail in FIR No.225 dated 15.07.2020 registered under

Sections 458, 354, 436, 427, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar Rural.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the present FIR is of version and cross-version.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that as evident from the last few proceedings, the talks for compromise between both the parties were going on and now the parties have amicably settled the dispute vide compromise dated 01.12.2020 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the complainant endorsed the same.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that on an average, all the petitioners have completed custody of almost 10 months. He contends that challan already stands submitted.

In the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the custody period undergone by the petitioners, I find that learned counsel for the petitioners has succeeded in making out a case for bail to the petitioners. The present petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

26.07.2021
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No