

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CRM-M-48523-2021

Date of Decision : November 22, 2021

Naresh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

M/s Guru Kirpa Commission Shop and another

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the petitioner is not pressing the present petition but it may kindly be mentioned that any opinion rendered in the impugned order by the Court below while deciding the issue will not effect the petitioner in any way on merits of the case as and when the complaint is finally decided.

With regard to the apprehension of the petitioner regarding the finding recorded by the Court while passing the impugned order, the same will not reflect on the merits of the case qua the main allegations alleged in the complaint. The complaint will be decided by the trial Court on the basis of the evidence, which will be led by the parties so as to decide the guilt/innocence of the petitioner, hence, the apprehension of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the observation by the Court below in the impugned order will effect the merits of the case, is only an apprehension and that too without any valid justification.

The present petition, as prayed for, is disposed of having been not pressed any further.

November 22, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No