

CRM-M-48247-2021

Date of decision: 22.11.2021

JASBIR KAUR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic)*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent No.2 is daughter-in-law of the petitioner. There is a matrimonial dispute between the son of the petitioner and respondent No.4. Respondent No.4 has initiated proceedings under Section 12 of Protection of Women Domestic Violence Act, and furthermore, the petitioner has also instituted a civil suit for permanent injunction restraining respondent No.4 and Gurlalbir Singh Sandhu (son of the petitioner) from interfering in her possession over the house in question. She is apprehending threat and danger to her life and liberty at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has only restricted the scope of the present petition with regard to issuance of appropriate instructions to protect her life and liberty and she has already submitted a representation (Annexure P-6) stated to have been submitted on 01.11.2021 to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the

respondents Nos.1 to 3-State.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as raised with regard to protection of her life and liberty as projected in the representation (Annexure P-6) and take the remedial measure, if any, as required under law.

22.11.2021
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No