

**Sr.No.104**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43974 of 2020  
Date of decision:04.01.2021**

**Asmina**

**... Petitioner(s)**

**versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**... Respondent (s)**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jasgurpreet Singh Puri**

**Present:** Ms. Rosi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**Through Video Conference**

**Jasgurpreet Singh Puri, J.(Oral)**

At the outset learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that her prayer is confined only for the grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioner.

Learned counsel has submitted that in the present FIR No.70 dated 06.08.2020 was lodged against three accused under Sections 376, 376D, 453 IPC (lateron Section 34 IPC was added) at Police Station Women Police Station Manesar, Distrit Gurugram and after investigation of the case, challan was presented only qua one of the accused namely, Insarun son of Sahub and so far as the other co-accused are concerned the challan was not

presented and thereafter, the remaining accused alongwith other persons are pressurising the petitioner for entering into compromise in the present FIR.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents—State and on instructions from ASI Suman, he has submitted that in the present case one of the accused namely, Insarun was arrested and qua whom the challan has already been presented. However, so far as the other co-accused are concerned they are absconding and could not be apprehended and all efforts are being made to apprehend them and therefore, it can not be said that there is any deficiency in the investigation process. Learned State counsel has further submitted that State has no objection in case appropriate directions are issued with regard to considering the threat perception to the petitioner for the protection of life and liberty in accordance with law.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the present case, there is no need to call for the reply at this stage particularly in view of the fact that the petitioner has confined her prayer only for grant of protection of life and liberty.

In view of the above, Superintendent of Police, Gurugram is directed to assess the threat preception of the petitioner and thereafter, to take necessary and requisite steps, if so required and in accordance with law within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that the directions in the present case pertain only to the protection of life and liberty and does not reflect anything on any other subject matter whatsoever.

Disposed of.

4<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

Shivani Kaushik

[JASGURPREET SINGH PURI]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned      Yes/No

Whether Reportable              Yes/No