

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(101)

CWP No. 1400 of 2021

Date of Decision : 22.01.2021

Ramesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view advance copy given)

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be allowed to withdraw this petition, at this stage, with liberty to approach the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar for the redressal of his grievances, which have been raised in the present writ petition. Learned counsel submits that petitioner will move an appropriate representation bringing to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar, the grievance, which he has qua the property, which he owns as well as the FIRs, which have been lodged when his possession was sought to be disturbed so that, the grievance of the petitioner is redressed by the authorities concerned.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioner by the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar, the grievance raised therein will be looked into and appropriate speaking order

will be passed on the same within a period of two months of the receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this satisfies the petitioner.

Present writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn, with liberty, as prayed for.

It is made clear that the respondents will be bound by their statement as recorded above.

January 22, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No