

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37830-2019

Date of Decision: 19.02.2021

Anshuman Rana @ Anushuman @ Rana and others ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. A.K. Viridi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

None for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R. No. 0035 dated 25.03.2019, under Sections 452, 160, 148, 149, 427, 506 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Satnampura, Phagwara, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara, vide report dated 22.11.2019, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived between

the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. There is no representation on behalf of respondent No.2, which shows that respondent No.2 does not dispute the compromise. He has appeared in-person before the Court below and has made a statement in support of compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phagwara, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another”**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 0035 dated 25.03.2019, under Sections 452, 160, 148, 149, 427, 506 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Satnampura, Phagwara, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

19.02.2021
Parveen Sharma

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No