

**203-3) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48145-2021
Date of decision-15.12.2021**

Ravinder

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana `

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr.Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

SANT PARKASH, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case, FIR No.284 dated 19.09.2021, registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Urban Estate, Hisar, District Hisar.

While issuing notice of motion in the this case vide order dated 17.11.2021, the following order was passed by this Court.

“Through this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.284 dated 19.09.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 and 506 IPC at Police Station Urban Estate, Hisar, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner is innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the case due to matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the complainant. Complainant is in the habit of lodging false cases against the petitioner and her family with ulterior motives. Coaccused of the petitioner have already been granted interim anticipatory bail vide orders dated 03.11.2021 and 15.11.2021 passed by this Court in CRM-M-46398 of 2021 and CRM-M-47443 of 2021, respectively. Moreover, offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class. Petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vishal Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

List on 15.12.2021.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days to join investigation and he shall be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions:-

1.He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

3. He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

To be heard along with CRM-M-47443 of 2021. ”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sweety, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, order dated 17.11.2021 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

15.12.2021

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No