

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23315-2021

Date of decision : 17.11.2021

Ajmer Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to step-up the pay of petitioner at par with pro-forma respondent No.4/his junior, which has occurred due to the implementation of the ACP 4-year benefits and to pay the arrears along with all consequential benefits with interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 26.08.2021 (P-7) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made

on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Education, Punjab, Civil Secretariat-2, Sector 9, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 26.08.2021 (P-7), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

17.11.2021

atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No